G R S App F IX-4]

1943-44 mL File No 1--42

Previous References

(mL) Serial Nos 22, 28 47 + 48

Later References

mL 1-44-24, 25

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4

Part B for Crow'UB

SUBJECT

C/wears of increments to Clerk, Puttaiyya s/o Yelahanka mul Office--

To the DEC inlove 24-
431C dt 20/11/42.
Declines to sanction the proposal

11/12
3/12

Jul 1-42

Arrears of increments due to clerk pulkat
of yelahanka rel office

30.7.2

2 22) Letter no Rs 6 155-18(42-43 dy
11-11-42 from the Dy Comr Bangalore
Dist requesting sanction to the fixing
after pay of clerk pulkanga of yelahanka
rel office at Rs 25/- in grade Rs 20-1-25
with effect from 1-7-42 and also
the payments of his arrears of increments
from 1-7-38 up to date on behalf
drawing salaries of the yelahanka mum
mlf

It is stated that the pay of the
clerk was raised to Rs 20/- in grade
Rs 20-1-25 as per revised grade
W sanctioned in G O dy 25-7-36 no incre-
ment has been granted to him till now
on the ground that no application
was received from the clerk and of
the finder resources of the mlf
X y now the Amildar President and
the S D O Bangalore recommend the grant
of one increment of Rs 1/- to the clerk
from 1-7-41. But the Dy Comr Bangl
dist recommends the grant of all
the increments due to him i e Rs 25/-
fixing his pay at Rs 25/- from 1-7-42
in grade Rs 20-1-25 and also for

2) 3017 Arrears of increments due to pathan peon clerk
Yelahanka minor mlly
the payments of the arrears of increments
from 1-7-38 upto date and after
closing balance of the Yelahanka
minor mlly subject to rule 6
preparation time in clerk has been
transferred to Channapatna
mlly from February 1942 as per the
Government
3) The Dy Comm's recommendation
is for orders

23/11/42 4) The Service register shows that Pages 10 & 11
the Appeal was under suspension
from 16 & 38 to 5-9-38 - What effect
would this suspension have on the Appeal
preferment as recommended by the
D-C refers (incidents
5) further, it has not been definitely recorded
in the service register that the Appeal is
placed in the grade of Rs 20-1-25 - In view
of this fact, the manuscript may be given to the
The H Secy may be consulted on the
above point

Law Secy 23/11/42

SECY to GOVT of MYSORE

6) The authority for the Pathan was
that the Council adopted the Increased
Scale from 1-7-37
2 why there is omission of Appeal & Suspension
& 3 The Accts Asst may be
asked as to Increments
may be called for

Asst 24-11-42

3017
Mel 1 - 42 (3)

Arrears of increments due to clerk
Pathiala of Yelahanka Ml office

7) Sealed cover a draft

25/11/42 26/11

8) Sgmt 26/11/42

42 29) Noted by Comm Bangalore Divn
No b 5215-D 28-11-42
Calls for further particulars
in the matter

Sms A
26 DM
30/11/42

From the Dy Commr, Bangalore
Letter No. Mb-155-C/42-43 dy 30-8-43

Furnishes information on the points referred to above.

9) The authority for fixing the clerk on the grade pay of Rs 20 (25 is stated to be the general order No L 811-21/Mel 36 35-8 dated 26 7 36 Specific sanction has not been obtained for it Nor should have been done

10) The period of suspension of the clerk for 20 days remained operative and the DC states that it was not ordered to be a desirable person for earning his increments etc Nor appears to be irregular Nor period should have been condoned or leave granted to give continuity to the service without break

A

11) It is further stated that the explanation of the clerk for not having applied for increment for want of provision in the budget cannot be accepted

12) Finally it is recommended by the DC that the clerk may be fixed on a pay of Rs 25/- in 20-1 25

Continuation Sheet File No / Current No — Arrears of increments to Clerk Puttaiah of the Yelahanka Sub Office — of — Page

with effect from 17-4-2 and payments of the arrears of increments from 1 7 38 upto date from out of the Closed balance of the Yelahanka Senior which may be sanctioned (

13) Entries relative to his having been fixed on the grade Rs 20 (25 and those relative to his suspension and restoration during the periods from 20-8-38 to 5 9-38, have been made in the Service Register

14) The Appeal is now working in the Chennapatna Sub Office from 25-2-42. Submitted with reference to paras 6 to 8 of the rules

Encls 11/9/43

14) The opinion of the Comptroller may perhaps be obtained if the suspension order operates as a break or disqualification for earning increments by the Appeal (vide portion marked ? supra).

Law Dept 11/9/43

15/9/43

14-9-43

Dy Secy

O No 93/43 D 15 9 43

Forwarded to with Compliments to the Comptroller to Govt for favour of opinion with reference to para 14 + 15 supra

By order

15/9/43

for Secy to Govt Law Dept

SECY LAW DEPT 2761 14/9/43 GOVT OF MYSORE

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE BRANCH CLAW 15 SEP 1943 285 SPECIAL SECTION

W.P. No 26/LLAD 16 9 43.

16/9/43

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of 1557/2 | Page

323/cpl Dy 6/10/43

U O No 155/C LAD dated the 2nd October 1943

Returned with Compliments -

The time passed under suspension in this case does not count towards increments as the suspension was ordered as a penalty for negligence of duty, a fine of Rupee one was also imposed on him on the above score - Besides the Deputy Commissioner's order directing the reinstatement of the official does not specifically mention that the period in question shall count towards increment as required in article 89 M S R

SECY LAW DEPT 3623 7/10/43 GOVT OF MYSORE

C Srinivasa Iyengar
for Comptroller
absent from Hdqrs
6/11

6-10-43

7/10/43

Laid with a draft reply for approval
11 10 43

Lawyer
14 10 43

16 10 43

Dy Secy
11.10.43

GOVT OF MYSORE

To the Dy Comm't, Bangalore
Letter No L 4318 dy 20 11 43
Declines to agree with the recommendation with certain observations

WD 1140—GPB—50 000—5-11-42

3017/1

No M6 155-13/42-43
End : Nil 12 S R.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore the 11th November 1942

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A , B L
Secretary to Government Law Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject: Sanction of arrears of increments to Clerk Puttaiya of Yelahanka Municipal Office- Recommends the

---

I have the honour to state that one Puttaiya, clerk of Yelahanka Municipal Office has put in a total service of 22 years He joined duty on 1-9-20 as a clerk of the office on Rs 10/- and his pay was raised to Rs 15/- from 1-7-2: During the year 1937 38, the pay of the clerk was raised to Rs 20/- in the grade Rs 20-1-25 as per revised scale sanctioned in Government Order No L 81T-27 Mi 16-36-82 dated 25-7-1936 The Municipal Council adopted the revised scale with effect from 1-7-1937

2 It is seen from the records that the clerk has not been granted any increments so far On his application during the year 1941-42, the Municipal Council have resolved at their meeting held on 30-8-1941, that the first increment of Re 1/- may be sanctioned with effect from 1-7-1941 The Amildar President was asked to state as to why the increments of the clerk in the grade were not sanctioned till now and whether they were withheld as a disciplinary measure The President reports that the increments of the clerk seem to have not been sanctioned for want of application from the clerk praying for the grant of increment and that thisquestion did not come to the notice of the Council It is further reported that the increments were not stopped as punishment and that the clerk has rendered approved service The payment of arrears of increment from the date of revision of the scale is now reported to be a burden on the slender resources of the Municipality The Amildar President recommends that the first increment to the Clerk raising his pay to Rs 21/- may be sanctioned from 1-7-1941 The Sub Division Officer, Bangalore

supports the above recommendation of the Amildar President

3 The revised scale has been given effect to from 1-7-1937 in this Municipality The clerk ought to have been granted his first increment of Re 1/- on 1-7-1938 alone At this rate, he would have reached Rs 25/- the maximum of his grade from 1-7-1942 The plea of the slender resources of the Municipality or the want of application from the official urging for the grant of the increment should not have been ground for not granting a small increment of Re 1/- a year to this poor official The Municipal Council is therefore responsible for this irregularity I am of opinion that the clerk is entitled to get all his increments due to him I, therefore request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained for ~~fixing~~ fixing the above clerk on Rs 25/- in the grade 20-1-25 with effect from 1-7-1942, and also to the payments of his arrears of increments from 1-7-38 up to date out of the closing balance of the Yelahanka Municipality subject to rule of proportions

4 The service register of the official along with the connected references are enclosed for favour of reference and return

cc

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

RS Sivaramappa

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

for absent on Tour

sm/4

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section Mil

File No 1 – 1. 2

Serial No 2 8

Diary No of letter replied to 3017 – L

No L. 5485 – Records

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

To

The Dy Comr, Bangalore East

Bangalore

Date of issue 28 11 42

Signed by Mr GPRao

Subject - Arrears of increments due to clerk Puthayya of Yelahanka Municipal Office

Sir,

With reference to your letter No M 6 16 5 – 16 / 42-43 of 11th Nov of 42 on the above subject I am directed to observe that from the service register of the aforesaid it is seen that his ~~increments~~ ~~were withheld~~ pay was raised from Rs 15/– to Rs 20/ p m from 1-7-37 as per

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

~~By Board's~~ Memo dtd 29 Govt's
order of 29-6-37 But there is
not clear whether this official
was given the grade of Rs 20-1-25
and if so, under whose authority
the copies of the Govt's letter
of 29-6-37 and the copy of the
resolution passed by the
Velahanka Municipal Chairman
~~may~~ matter may kindly be
sent for the information of
Govt. It is further seen that
the official was suspended
from 16-8-38 to 5-9-38 But
the reasons for his suspension
and subsequent restoration
on 5-9-38 are not mentioned
in the Service Register the records
connected with his suspension
may also be obtained and forwarded
for the perusal of Govt. as it is necessary to know what effect this suspension would have upon his increments

I am also to request that
the explanation of the clerk as to
why he did not ask for his increments
in time may be obtained and forwarded
for information
for reference and retransmission along with the records

No why Sheristadar mention thereof has been made in the Service Register

Sheristadar

for Secy

28
25/11/42

L 5415/mcl-42-28 dt 28/11/42

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District,
Bangalore

Sir, Subject:- Arrears of increments due to clerk Puttaiya of Yelahanka minor municipal Office.

With reference to your letter No M 6 155-18/42-43 dated 11th November 1942 on the above subject, I am directed to observe that from the service register of the official it is seen that his pay was raised from Rs 15/- to Rs 20/- per mensem from 1st July 1937 as per Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's order dated 29th June 1937 But it is not clear whether this official was given the grade of Rs 20-1-25 and if so, under whose authority and why no specific mention thereof has been made in the servicerregister The copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 29th June 1937 and the copy of the resolution passed by the Yelahanka minor municipal Council in this matter may kindly be sent for information of Government It is further seen that the official was suspended from 16th August 1938 to 5th September 1938. But the reasons for his suspension and subsequent restoration on 5th September 1938 are not mentioned in the Service Register The records connected with his suspension may also be obtained and forwarded for the perual of Government as it necessary to know what effect this suspension would have upon his increments etc

I am also to request that the explanation of the clerk as he why he did not ask for his increments in time for so many years may be obtained and forwarded The records are returned herewith for reference and retransmission along with a reply.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department

'Urgent'
M6-155-18/42-43
Encl 1 file & S Regr

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 30th August 1943

From

R P Mallappa, Esq , B A , LL B ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District





To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department , Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Arrears of increments due to Clerk Puttaiah of Yelahanka Minor Municipality

With reference to your letter No L5415 MI 1-42-28 dated 28-11-42 asking for certain particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows -

2) On the report No M C 191 dated 31-12-1936/1-1-37 of the Amildar President of Yelahanka Municipality recommending that scale pay of the Municipal clerk may be fixed in the grade of 20-1-25 from 1-7-37 as per G O No L811-21 MI 16-35-82 dated 25-7-36, sanction was accorded to the said proposal in this office memo No B3-1508/36-37 dated 29-6-1937 The connected papers are enclosed in the file for favour of reference The omission to mention the "grade 20-1-25" in the note found in column 18 on page 11 of the Service Register is only a clerical mistake

3) As regards the suspension of the official from 16-8-1938 to 5-9-1938, it is seen that the punishment was inflicted in this office memo No C2-871/37-8 dated 8-8-38 for non-submission of monthly cash accounts in time to the Comptroller to Government The connected papers are also enclosed in the file for favour of perusal Subsequently, the clerk was reinstated in this office memo No C2-871/37-38 dated 2-9-1938 and the fact duly noted in the Service Register on page 11 The suspension for a short period of 20 days has not been ordered as a disqualification for earning his increments etc ,

4) As regards the reasons for not claiming the increments by the clerk in time, his explanation is obtained and herewith enclosed The non provision of the expenditure in the budget is said to be the main cause for this The Sub Division Officer, Bangalore observes that this explanation is not acceptable, but recommends that in view of the clerk's omission to urge for his increment, the arrears of increment which would be due to him may perhaps be disallowed and the official allowed to draw Rs 25/- from the date the maximum accrued to him that is 1-7-42 But in view of the facts mentioned in para 3 of this office letter of even No dated 13-11-42, I recommend that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained for fixing the above clerk on Rs 25/- in the grade 20-1-25 from 1-7-42 and also to the payment of his arrears of increments from 1-7-1938 up to date out of the closing balance of Yelahanka Municipal Funds

P T O

5) The entire records and the Service Register of the official are herewith enclosed

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Bangalore District

akr/2

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT Arrears of increments due to clerk Puttaiah of Yelahanka Menor municipality.

Diary No of letter replied to 155

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

Date of issue 20/X

Signed by

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

Section ML

File No 1-42

Serial No 48

No L 4318/41/R

To

The Dy Commr, Bangalore

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No ML-155-18/42-43 dated 30th August 1943 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the time passed under suspension in this case does not count towards increments as the suspension was ordered as a penalty for negligence of duty and a fine of Rupee one was also imposed on him on the above score. Besides, the Dy Commr's order directing the reinstatement

WD 4161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

Of the Appeal ~~was~~ not specifically mention that the
period in question shall count towards increments as
required in Article 89 MCR Revised proposals may if necessary,
2 The records are herewith returned Have etc
be set up in the lighting machine otherwise

Aug 10
16

Sgd ~~for~~ Law Secy